



Minutes

Date: February 13, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.

Location: GTAA Administration Building, 3111 Convoir Drive, Pearson Rooms A & B

Chair: Toby Lennox, GTAA Vice President, Strategy Development & Stakeholder Relations

Member attendees: Brad Green, Brampton Resident
 Charles Gonsalves, Brampton Resident
 Brian Maltby, Brampton Resident
 Chris Fonseca, Mississauga Councillor
 Maja Prentice, Mississauga Resident
 Tina Rizzuto-Willan, Mississauga Resident
 Gordon Stewart, Mississauga Resident
 Johan Van T’ Hof, Toronto Resident
 Sheldon Rokin, Toronto Resident

Absent : Vincent Crisanti, Toronto Councillor

Technical Members: **GTAA:** F. Donaldson, R. Cruickshank, R. Connelly, L. McKee, D. Gray, K. Bochan, C. Woods, L. Hindocha, **NAV Canada:** Sam Ghobrial, P. Moulton, John Urban **Transport Canada:** Greg Cross; **City of Mississauga:** Karen Crouse, **Pilot Community:** Brian Harkness. **Air Canada:** Warren Lampitt

Secretariat: K. Stefanazzi

Also Present – Residents R. Boehnke, Toronto
 S. Brikis, Toronto
 M. Fernandez, Mississauga
 P. Ferreira (Office of MP Mike Sullivan)

G. Crymble, Mississauga
 S. Panezic, Mississauga
 T. McCallion, Mississauga

Attachments: CENAC Information Update, November 21, 2012

Next meeting: April 3, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.

Item	Details
1.0	Preliminary Items
1.1	<i>Welcome and Roll Call conducted by R. Cruickshank.</i>
1.2	<i>Review and approval of Agenda: B. Green approved and M. Prentice seconded.</i>
1.3	<i>Review, approval of November 21, 2012 Minutes. B. Green approved, S. Rokin seconded</i>
1.4.0	<i>Matters Arising From Previous Meeting – November 21, 2012</i>

Item	Details
1.4.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Action Items arising from previous meeting were reviewed. Action items can be found on the website.Councillor Fonseca inquired about the process for new and infill development around the airport.<ul style="list-style-type: none">The GTAA committed to following up on how the airport is engaged with the municipalities in regards to development around the airport.
2.0	REGULAR ITEMS
2.1	CENAC Committee Information Update (handout was reviewed)
2.2	Community Outreach - Update
2.2.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">R. Connelly noted the GTAA will be working with elected officials to begin to roll out some more information sessions, and that the GTAA has been making portions of the Toronto Pearson website more user-friendly, including the community pages. The next phase will be to update the noise management pages.
2.3	Environment Stewardship - Update
2.3.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">D. Gray gave a brief update on the GTAA's 2011 Corporate Responsibility Report "A World of Responsibilities": available online. http://www.torontopearson.com/en/gtaa/corporate-social-responsibility/#Feedback is welcome and can be sent to environment@gtaa.com
3.0	Discussion Items
3.1	2012 – Toronto Pearson Global Hub Economic Development Study
	<p>A detailed presentation on the 2012 Global Hub Economic Development Study was provided by Lorrie McKee. The presentation can be found at the link below.</p> <p>http://www.torontopearson.com/uploadedFiles/Pearson/Content/Your_Airport/Noise_Management/GlobalHubEconomicDevelopmentStudy_CENAC_Feb2013.pdf</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">J. Van T' Hof stated two things need to be identified: economic value, and causation. He inquired if the GTAA had provided clear Terms of Reference to the consultant.J. Van T' Hof stated Toronto Pearson is more of an enabler rather than causation.L. McKee noted the GTAA has examined some of analysis a company goes through in making a decision where to locate, including skilled labour force, access to housing and other factors. Connectivity to the world has broader benefits in terms of productivity or economic activity in the region.M. Prentice stated that many head offices did relocate to Mississauga because of the airport, and transportation to the airport was critical for their businesses.T. Lennox noted that the GTAA has to ensure the study put out is credible. The

Item	Details
------	---------

GTAA was asked by the federal government to do this type of work and there is a parallel study being done by the Canadian Airports Council.

3.2 Overview - WebTrak

- A presentation was provided by Kathy Bochan on the new WebTrak system. The full presentation can be found here:
http://www.torontopearson.com/uploadedFiles/Pearson/Content/Your_Airport/Noise_Management/2013-02-06_WebTrakPresentation.pdf
- B. Green inquired if the altitude in WebTrak was Above Sea Level (ASL) or Above Ground Level (AGL). K. Bochan responded that WebTrak will be using AGL.
 - B. Maltby inquired if the data tag could be changed to say above ground level (AGL) or above sea level (ASL).
 - K. Bochan responded she would have to follow up with the vendor. Currently ANNOMS and WebTrak only reflects AGL
 - M. Prentice noted historically residents want to know how high an aircraft is above their home, they are not concerned about sea level.
- J. Van T' Hof inquired why WebTrak posts 12 minutes behind, while other systems are only lagging by 5 minutes.
 - F. Donaldson advised this is during the rollout and testing, and we may be able to modify the feature. K. Bochan noted currently Vancouver Airport has a 10 minute lag, and the public has the option to view historical tracks.
- C. Fonseca inquired if community workshops would be made available to provide training or tutorials on WebTrak.
 - R. Connelly noted that the GTAA will have to put a tutorial piece on the website, and when someone signs on WebTrak to submit a complaint, a pop-up can provide them with information on WebTrak .
- C. Fonseca requested information on the 17 Noise Monitoring Terminals (NMT) because some residents feel they live too far from the current NMT's.
- J. Van T' Hof inquired why the flight number is not shown on WebTrak. K. Bochan said it was a security decision made by Nav Canada.
- T. Rizzuto-Willan noted she liked the colour distinction by arrivals and departures on WebTrak.
- L. Hindocha mentioned decibel levels can also be seen on WebTrak.
- T. Rizzuto-Willan noted it is important to ensure broader media is contacted to make the public aware of this feature when it is launched.

4.0 Public Comments

Item	Details
------	---------

- Resident T. McCallion noted that November Action Items state that the GTAA contacted the McCallion residence about portable noise monitoring on several occasions and there has been no response. Ms. McCallion stated she responded by phone, she also responded to her e-mail complaints. She also notified C. Fonseca that she had been notified about the noise monitoring and had been fighting for a permanent noise monitor and not a portable one.
 - T. Lennox noted the GTAA is committed to providing portable noise monitoring, and not a permanent noise monitor.
 - T. McCallion inquired where the permanent noise monitor is located in the area, because the one located at the Tomken Twin arena was broken and removed.
 - K. Bochan noted the NMT at the Tomken Twin arena was removed and relocated to a new location in Meadow Glen Park.
 - C. Fonseca noted she responds directly to any e-mails she receives from resident's including T. McCallion and does forward to T. Lennox or L. McKee. Ms. Fonseca did speak to the issue of where noise monitors were going to be placed.
 - T. McCallion feels the temporary portable noise monitor is very temporary, and doesn't capture what is happening in her area.
 - C. Fonseca stated that she requested a noise monitoring terminal be installed by Applewood Pool. The response she received from the GTAA was that noise monitors installed at permanent locations would not be relocated, but CENAC would be willing to provide portable noise monitoring at the McCallion residence.
 - T. Lennox noted a sub-committee of CENAC spent a significant amount of time selecting the new NMT locations. To review the criteria shared with CENAC on June 6, please see:
http://www.torontop Pearson.com/uploadedFiles/Pearson/Content/Your_Airport/Noise_Management/CENACJune6ActionItems.pdf
 - T. Rizzuto-Willan stated she was part of the CENAC sub-committee that examined the NMT's. The process was very pervasive and incredibly thorough. It is prudent to add it to the 5-year Noise Management Plan.
 - T. Lennox noted he does not mind putting a review of the NMT's on the 5 year plan. He also noted the noise monitoring terminal relocation plan was done over a number of years. He reiterated that the GTAA would not be relocating permanent noise monitoring terminals.
 - K. Bochan noted portable noise monitoring was conducted at Cherry Hill Park, and the information was provided to CENAC back in June. Please see June 6 meeting materials here:
<http://www.torontop Pearson.com/en/cenacpastagendasandminutes/#d>
-

Item	Details
------	---------

- T. McCallion directed further comments directly to the Transport Canada representative.
 - T. McCallion has concerns about this airport monitoring themselves and feels they are not giving accurate statistics, and Transport Canada is rubber stamping the changes the GTAA wants to make based on the false statistics provided by the GTAA.
 - T. Lennox stated he would invite Transport Canada to come and conduct an audit of GTAA's processes. T. McCallion inquired if Transport Canada would do that.
 - G. Cross noted he cannot comment on this alone but he would take this information back to the office.
 - G. Cross responded Transport Canada's job is to be fair and impartial to residents, as well as airlines and the GTAA
 - T. McCallion stated her home was built over 50 years ago and she has spent \$15,000 this past summer soundproofing the front room of her house. She states that despite the GTAA's statements that there has not been a change in standard operations that something has drastically changed.
 - T. McCallion inquired if Transport Canada can do a personal study of her area.
 - G. Cross responded that he cannot do that. It is not Transport Canada's role.
 - T. Lennox stated the GTAA have committed to invite Transport Canada to conduct an audit because Ms. McCallion has leveled serious accusations against the GTAA numerous times. The GTAA can conduct portable noise monitoring and reintroduce the data that was provided to the committee back in June. The GTAA can also invite members of CENAC to attend portable noise monitoring locations.
 - M. Prentice moved that the GTAA conduct portable noise monitoring to the closest reasonable location to the McCallion residence, and consult with the McCallion's as to what hours they would like portable monitoring to be conducted. CENAC requires the data, and M. Prentice stated she would like to make a motion. T. Rizzuto-Willan seconded the motion.
 - T. McCallion noted the times vary day by day.
 - C. Fonseca would like to amend the motion to be for the period of a week.

T. McCallion requested Transport Canada to do the portable noise monitoring, because she has an issue with the GTAA doing the self-monitoring.
 - T. Lennox noted the GTAA cannot deliver on M. Prentice's motion.
 - M. Prentice stated she will withdraw the motion.
 - T. Lennox stated that we will refer this resolution in a letter to Transport Canada to
-

Item	Details
------	---------

report back to the GTAA.

- C. Fonseca inquired where the closest permanent location is to the McCallion residence.
 - K. Bochan noted it is located in Meadow Glen Park.
- B. Maltby, noted as a new member of CENAC and resident of Brampton, he could also attend the noise monitoring at her residence. T. McCallion responded her concern was the day Mr. Malby may come to her residence, she has no control of how many planes will be flying over her home, therefore she requires a permanent noise monitor.
- A resident inquired if there is map indicating where all of the noise monitors are currently located.
 - T. Lennox noted the GTAA does have a map and will provide the committee and residents with the map and it will be made available on the website.
- G. Crymble inquired how long the early turn trials will continue.
 - R. Connelly responded if the GTAA would like the trials to become permanent, it has to go through the CARAC process and part of that process would be public consultation. Part of the 5 year Noise Management plan was to look at the parameters around trials.
- C. Fonseca inquired that the data from Cherry Hill Park portable noise monitoring be brought forward with an explanation what the readings mean, and data from the noise monitor at Meadow Glen Park for the past month be brought forward.
 - R. Connelly recommended at the next CENAC meeting one of the consultants that collect the data for us can make this an action item.
- C. Fonseca also inquired if the GTAA has a list of correspondence that is submitted directly to the committee.
 - R. Connelly responded that at every CENAC a list of all correspondence that is submitted to the CENAC address is provided to the CENAC committee; however we have a separate correspondence tracker that we keep track of.

Adjournment - The next CENAC meeting is scheduled for **June 26, 2013** at 4 p.m.
For additional information, please contact Kim Stefanazzi at (416) 776-3941.
